

CULTURAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT[221]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 221—Chapter 13
“Iowa Cultural Trust”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 15.106A(1)“m” and 17A.4(1)
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code sections 15.106A(1)“m” and 15.479

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

June 30, 2026
9:30 to 9:45 a.m.

Via Microsoft Teams
Information about Teams participation can be found at opportunityiowa.gov/about/iowa-economic-development-authority/ieda-red-tape-review

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Economic Development Authority no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Christopher West
Iowa Economic Development Authority
1963 Bell Avenue, Suite 200
Des Moines, Iowa 50315
Email: christopher.west@iowafinance.com

Purpose and Summary

Pursuant to Executive Order 10, the Authority proposes to rescind Chapter 13. Former Iowa Code section 303A.4, later recodified as Iowa Code section 15.479, was repealed by 2025 Iowa Acts, House File 975, section 37. Accordingly, this chapter is no longer necessary.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**
 - **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**
Rescission of Chapter 13 does not impose any costs.
 - **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**
Rescinding a chapter related to programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.
2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**
 - **Quantitative description of impact:**
Rescission of Chapter 13 does not impose any costs.
 - **Qualitative description of impact:**

Rescinding a chapter related to programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.

3. Costs to the State:

• **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

No costs are borne by the agency or any other agency.

• **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

Rescission of Chapter 13 has no anticipated impact on State revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

The rescission of Chapter 13 does not impose any costs. Rescinding a chapter for programs and activities that have been discontinued will provide more clarity about the responsibilities of the Authority.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

The Authority has not identified any less costly or less intrusive methods.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

• **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

The Authority did not consider any other methods.

• **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

The Authority did not consider any other methods.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

• Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.

• Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

• Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

• Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.

• Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The rescission of Chapter 13 does not have a substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **221—Chapter 13.**